Leonard F. Carr Co., L.P.A.

DIRECTOR OF LAW: MAYFIELD HEIGHTS

OF COUNSEL: L. BRYAN CARR Attorneys and Counselors at Law 1392 S.O.M. Center Road Maryfield Heights, Ohio 44124 TELEPHONE (440) 473-2277

FAX (440) 473-0166

CELL (216) 469-7566

E-MAIL lc@cardawfirm.net

March 30, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE (216-781-6242) AND REGULAR U.S. MAIL - 3-30-10

Michael Goldberg, Esq. Michael J. Goldberg & Associates 323 Lakeside Avenue, Suite 450 Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Re:

United States of America vs. Anthony Capuozzo, et al. U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division

Case No. 1:10 CR 00075-DCN-2 My Client: Nicholas Myles

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

This letter is being written pursuant to your request, relative to the captioned matter. I will also notify both the Federal and County Prosecutors that I have no objection to doing so.

From time-to-time during my recent involvement in this case, you and I have discussed the matter of certain documents and computer hardware that had been in my client's possession and then turned over to the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office.

It is my understanding that, in 2006, the County Prosecutor's Office issued a Subpoena to Nicholas Myles, for certain records and computer hardware, relative to his former employer Central National Mortgage. The Subpoena was issued by, or in conjunction with, Assistant County Prosecutor Michael Jackson.

In compliance with the Subpoena, my client (with his wife) delivered several boxes of files and documents, as well as 2 grey Dell desktop computers (hard-drives) to the 9th floor of the Justice Center (the Prosecutor's Office) where a representative of Mr. Jackson received those items into his/her custody and control.

Exhibr C- 0.1

It is my understanding that the Subpoena was complied with in its entirety, and in the time since the issuance of the Subpoena no complaint has been made by the County Prosecutor's Office that my client failed to comply with same in any fashion.

It is my understanding now that one or more of the computers in question may have been lost or misplaced within the County Prosecutor's Office. It is also my understanding (secondhand) that Prosecutor Jackson does not deny having received the subpoenaed information and computers, but merely cannot recall it as a result of the passage of time.

It is my understanding that, with the issuance of this letter, you will withdraw your previously issued Subpoenas on this subject.

Very Truly Yours,

LEONARD F. CARR

/cs